

W72-09552

16070 --- 12/69

PB 210 110

WORKING PAPER

No.
64
Dec. 1969



DISPOSAL OF SOLID ALUMINUM PROCESS WASTES IN THE OCEAN



FEDERAL WATER
POLLUTION CONTROL
ADMINISTRATION
NORTHWEST REGION

Reproduced by
**NATIONAL TECHNICAL
INFORMATION SERVICE**
Springfield, Va. 22151

**PACIFIC NORTHWEST
WATER LABORATORY**
CORVALLIS, OREGON

24

**SELECTED WATER
RESOURCES ABSTRACTS
INPUT TRANSACTION FORM**

1. Report No.

3. Accession No.

W 72-09552

4. Title

DISPOSAL OF SOLID ALUMINUM PROCESS WASTES IN THE OCEAN

5. Report Date

6. Performing Organization
Report No.

7. Author(s)

**Baumgartner, D. J., Calloway, R. J., and
Ditsworth, G. R.**

10. Project No.

9. Organization

**Federal Water Pollution Control Administration,
Cervallis, Ore, Pacific Northwest Water Lab.**

11. Contract/Grant No.

12. Sponsoring Organization

13. Type of Report and
Period Covered

15. Supplementary Notes

**Federal Water Pollution Control Administration Northwest Region;
Pacific Northwest Water Lab Working Paper No 64, December 1969.
16 p, 2 fig, 3 tab, 7 ref. *is discussed***

16. Abstract

~~This paper discusses~~ the probable effect of dumping solid aluminum process wastes at one of three localities in the Pacific Ocean approximately 40 mi off the mouth of the Columbia River. A description of the material is given and detailed chemical and physical characteristics of each material are given in three tables. Pollution aspects and possible pollution problems are described. Dumping of Kelly residue is to be at a rate of 1000 tons per day for 175 days. In addition, 1000 tons per day of lime mud is to be dumped within an 8-day period. Based on computed settling rates and apparent effect of horizontal dispersion by currents, site two is believed to be the best of the three sites. Located in water about 900 fathoms (1647 m) deep and near the mouth of Willapa Canyon, deep settling material will be funneled seaward from the site. Hydrographic studies of bottom currents and the sedimentation mechanics of this type of disposal method should be made. (Lang-USGS)

17a. Descriptors

***Waste disposal, *Solid wastes, *Pacific Ocean, *Aluminum,
Water pollution sources, Dispersion, Columbia River, Currents (water),
Bottom sediments, Industrial wastes, Environmental effects,**

17b. Identifiers

***Ocean dumping, Kelly residue,**

17c. COWRR Field & Group

**OSC
OSB**

3.01/.95

18. Availability

19. Security Class.
(Report)

21. No. of
Pages

Send To:

20. Security Class.
(Page)

22. Price

**WATER RESOURCES SCIENTIFIC INFORMATION CENTER
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20240**

Abstractor **J. W. Lang**

6/9/72

Institution

U.S. Geol. Survey, Water Res. Div.

FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ADMINISTRATION

NORTHWEST REGION, PORTLAND, OREGON

James L. Agee, Regional Director

PACIFIC NORTHWEST WATER LABORATORY
CORVALLIS, OREGON
A. F. Bartsch, Director

NATIONAL THERMAL
POLLUTION RESEARCH
Frank H. Rainwater

NATIONAL EUTROPHICATION
RESEARCH
A. F. Bartsch

NATIONAL COASTAL
POLLUTION RESEARCH
D. J. Baumgartner

WASTE TREATMENT RESEARCH
AND TECHNOLOGY: Pulp &
Paper; Food Processing;
Wood Products & Logging;
Special Studies
James R. Boydston

BIOLOGICAL EFFECTS
Gerald R. Bouck

MANPOWER & TRAINING
Lyman J. Nielson

CONSOLIDATED LABORATORY
SERVICES
Daniel F. Krawczyk

NATIONAL COASTAL POLLUTION
RESEARCH PROGRAM

D. J. Baumgartner, Chief

R. J. Callaway

M. H. Feldman

B. D. Clark

G. R. Ditsworth

W. A. DeBen

L. C. Bentsen

D. S. Trent

D. L. Cutchin

E. M. Gruchalla

L. G. Hermes

DISPOSAL OF SOLID ALUMINUM PROCESS WASTES
IN THE OCEAN

Prepared by

Donald J. Baumgartner
Richard J. Callaway
George R. Ditsworth

Working Paper No. 64, Dec. 1969.

16 pp 2, 3, 7-8.

United States Department of the Interior
National Coastal Pollution Research Program
Federal Water Pollution Control Administration, Northwest Region
Pacific Northwest Water Laboratory
200 Southwest Thirty-fifth Street
Corvallis, Oregon 97330

December 1969

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

In its assigned function as the Nation's principal natural resource agency, the Department of the Interior bears a special obligation to assure that our expendable resources are conserved, that renewable resources are managed to produce optimum yields, and that all resources contribute their full measure to the progress, prosperity, and security of America, now and in the future.

Conclusions or recommendations
made or inferred in this working
paper are tentative and subject
to reconsideration as research
proceeds on this subject.

CONTENTS

<u>Chapter</u>	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. REPORT OF STUDY	3
Material and Dumping Schedule	3
Pollution Aspects	3
Possible Pollution Problems	8
Enroute	8
At Ocean Disposal Site	9
III. SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	15
REFERENCES	16

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Suggested Disposal Sites in Astoria Canyon	2
2	Columbia River and Main Channels	10

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1	Description of Waste Materials (Kelly Residue). . .	4
2	Kelly Residue	5
3	Description of Waste Materials (Lime Mud)	6

INTRODUCTION

Reynolds Aluminum Company, Longview, Washington, has requested permission from the Portland District, U. S. Army Corps of Engineers, to dump solid aluminum process wastes at one of three localities in the Pacific Ocean near 125° W. longitude, 46° N. latitude. These sites are approximately 40 miles off the mouth of the Columbia River and are shown on Figure 1, which is an overlay from U. S. Coast and Geodetic Survey chart no. 6002.

This report discusses the probable disposition of the material to be dumped.*

* The request was subsequently withdrawn by the company.

Reproduced from
best available copy.




FIGURE 1. Suggested Disposal Sites in Astoria Canyon

REPORT OF STUDY

Material and Dumping Schedule

One hundred seventy-five thousand tons of accumulated material, Kelly residue, is to be dumped at a rate of 1000 tons per day within a one-year period beginning about July 1, 1968. This material is generated at the rate of 18,000 tons per year and the company proposes to dump each annual accumulation within a one-month period.

In addition to the Kelly residue, the company wishes to dump another material, lime mud, generated at the rate of 8,600 tons per year, within an 8-day period each year at the 1000 tons per day rate.

Detailed chemical and physical characteristics of each material as provided by the Corps of Engineers are given in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

Pollution Aspects

The cyanide portion of the Kelly residue appears to be of major concern from pollutional considerations. Little information concerning tolerable concentrations in sea water has been found. However, McKee and Wolfe (1963) cite opinions of various investigators that wastes should not be discharged to ocean waters when the resulting HCN concentrations will exceed 0.05 mg/l. This concentration is equivalent to .0488 mg/g in sea water at 32‰.

TABLE 1
DESCRIPTION OF WASTE MATERIALS

Material: Kelly Residue

Chemical Analysis		Sieve Size	
Component	Wt. %	Tyler Mesh	Cum. % Retained
Carbon	35	65	6.0
Water	12	100	13.7
Al ₂ O ₃	35	150	22.6
Na ₃ AlF ₆	3.5	200	29.3
CaF ₂	8	Pan	
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.5		
SiO ₂	5		
	100		

Particle Density: 168 pounds per cu. ft. (Sp. Gr. - 2.7)

Settling Rate: 3.5 feet per hour in calm sea water at 50°F.

Location of Stockpile: SE end of Reynolds Metals Company property, Longview, Washington, between spurs of Northern Pacific Railway

Size of Stockpile as of 7-1-67: 175,000 tons

Rate of Generation: 18,000 tons per year

Proposed Dumping Schedule: 1,000 tons per day; 20,000 tons per month until stockpile is depleted, beginning about 7-1-68 and ending about 7-1-69. Thereafter, the annual generation of 18,000 tons can be disposed of in less than one month at 1,000 tons per day.

TABLE 2
KELLY RESIDUE

Laboratory Analysis			Weighted Values			
Sample	CN ⁻ , ppm		Area Represented, Sq. Ft.	Fraction of Total Area	Weighted Values, ppm CN ⁻	
	Total	Free			Total	Free
1	1.06	0.89	9,375	0.0675	0.07155	0.06008
2	1.78	1.23	8,200	0.0590	0.10502	0.07257
3	2.20	1.94	7,025	0.0506	0.11132	0.09816
4	1.63	0.62	7,250	0.0522	0.08509	0.03236
5	1.67	0.59	15,025	0.1082	0.18069	0.06384
6	6.38	0.84	10,000	0.0720	0.45936	0.06048
7	3.12	0.90	10,000	0.0720	0.22464	0.06480
8	4.44	3.10	10,010	0.0721	0.32012	0.02235
9	29.48	13.38	10,060	0.0724	2.13435	0.96871
10	3.52	0.66	10,000	0.0720	0.25344	0.04752
11	2.68	1.32	14,625	0.1058	0.28354	0.13966
12	1.65	0.57	10,125	0.0729	0.12028	0.04155
13	1.10	0.53	7,850	0.0565	0.06215	0.02955
(Avg. 14-17 to find A)						
14	103.07	47.89				
15	98.12	44.48				
16	78.50	49.87				
17	2.47	0.57				
Avg., A	70.54	35.70	9,375	0.0675	4.76850	2.41330
Sum of 1-13+A			138,920	1.0007	<u>9.18</u>	<u>4.12</u>
					Total	Free

TABLE 3
DESCRIPTION OF WASTE MATERIALS

Material: Lime Mud

Chemical Analysis		Sieve Size	
Component	Wt. %	Tyler Mesh	Cum. % Retained
CaCO ₃	90	65	4.4
CaF ₂	10	100	16.4
	100	150	53.8
		200	88.7
		Pan	100.0

Bulk Density: 88 pounds per cu. ft.

Particle Density: 187 pounds per cu. ft. (Sp. Cr. = 3.0)

Settling Rate: 3.7 feet per hour in calm sea water at 50°F.

Location of Stockpile: Immediate east of Kelly residue stockpile

Size of Stockpile: Zero, as of 7-1-67

Rate of Generation: 8,600 tons per year

Proposed Dumping Schedule: 1,000 tons per day for eight consecutive days each year, beginning about 7-1-68, not on the same days that Kelly Residue is dumped.

salinity and 10°C. Cyanide ions in water react, depending on the pH of the system, to form undissociated hydrogen cyanide (HCN). Since this is the form in which the ion is the most toxic, it is appropriate to consider toxicity expressed in relation to the HCN concentration. At a pH of 8, approximately 6.7% is in the form of a cyanide ion (CN^-).

We will presume for the purpose of this analysis that this is to be the maximum allowable concentration of HCN in the area of the discharge of the waste materials. Table 2 shows that the weighted average concentration of free cyanide ion in the accumulated stockpile of Kelly residue is 4.12 parts per million. However, because of the rather large variability in the analyses of the various sections of the stockpile, we would prefer to use for this analysis the average of four analyses in one section of the stockpile which amounted to 35.7 ppm of free CN^- . While this is a considerable over-estimate for the pollutional aspects of the stockpile as a whole, it is not unreasonable to use a value this high to represent possible hazardous conditions of any one particular large load of residue. A 1,000 ton barge load would then contain 71.4 pounds of free CN^- . The equivalent in terms of HCN would be 74 pounds.

Possible Pollution Problems

Enroute

Chip barges in the Yaquina River, petrochemical tankers in the Ohio River, and chlorine barges in the Mississippi River have suffered accidental spills or been sunk, with subsequent release of the material to the waterway. There is a distinct possibility that the same type of accident could occur with the transport of the Kelly residue material down the Columbia River to its ultimate disposal site in the ocean. The resulting pollution problem would appear to be more serious for this situation than that resulting from the intentional dumping of the barge at the disposal site. Assuming a relatively low flow of the Columbia River of 123,000 cfs, the uniform distribution of a sunken barge load of 1,000 tons over a period of one hour would produce a concentration of suspended solids of 86 mg/l. Similarly, the concentration of HCN would be about three mg/l.

A more appropriate and precise analysis is provided by a mathematical model of the lower Columbia River considering the effects of diffusion as well as advection and considering that the barge contents would probably be dissolved and redistributed over a much longer period of time. The resulting pollution problem would be most serious at Longview, Washington, and would diminish as the accident site approached the ocean. Unfortunately,

the limit of our mathematical model at present is mile 20, and the analysis is carried out for an accidental spill at that location.

Assuming that the barge contents are distributed uniformly for a day and confined to the flow through the south channel of the river (see Figure 2), the suspended solids concentration would be about four mg/l, and the concentration of HCN would be about 0.14 mg/l.

Under similar conditions, but assuming the complete flow of the Columbia River participates in the distribution of the wastes, the concentration would be about 0.6 mg/l of solids and 0.02 mg/l of HCN.

Because of the rapid flushing of the Columbia River at this point, these concentrations will be localized to within a few hundred square meters for a period of 1 to 2 hours.

At Ocean Disposal Site

Currents in the area are poorly defined and influenced by wind and local bathymetry. Generally, surface currents are northerly in the winter and southerly in the summer. Average velocities of these currents vary from 10 to 20 cm/sec and 5 to 20 cm/sec, respectively. (Budinger, Coachman and Barnes, 1964).

Stevenson (1966), using parachute drogues, measured subsurface currents off Newport, Oregon, from 1962 to 1965. Mean current velocities in this area were found to be 9.9 cm/sec at 0-10




FIGURE 2. Columbia River Estuary and Main Channels.

meters depth, 6.2 cm/sec at 40-60 meters depth, 4.8 cm/sec at 75-150 meters depth and 6.2 cm/sec at 200-250 meters depth. The mean direction of these currents was south-southeasterly.

No specific information has been found about the nature of the current at the much greater depth found at the suggested disposal site. The current regime on the ocean floor will have an effect on the accumulation of solids if they reach the ocean floor due to sedimentation, while the surface currents will have an effect on the initial distribution of the material as it is dumped from the barge.

Transport with Current. When the barge contents are discharged at the disposal site, possible pollution problems may result if the material is finely divided and evenly distributed so that the relatively high surface currents will transport the material, perhaps toward the beaches. If for some reason all of the CN^- were dissolved by the surface waters and retained in the top two meters, distribution over 360,000 square meters would be required to reduce the potential HCN concentrations to below 5 mg/l. This represents a circle of radius 340 meters. This is the result which might be expected if sedimentation were governed by the individual particle settling rates as indicated in Tables 1 and 3. However, this behavior is not expected, as the material will most probably be compacted into various size clumps. While there is no exact method of analysis for the resulting

sedimentation rate, guidance can be provided by considering two cases which represent the range of likely situations. One is to assume the material is a slurry and settles like a liquid of the same bulk density; the other is to assume all of the barge contents act as a single clump of material which settles in a discrete fashion.

Assuming the material acts as a slurry, it will initially settle with a high velocity due to the large volume of dense material. As it proceeds downward the turbulent boundary between the slurry and the ambient sea water will cause mixing of the two and the creation of a wide, less dense, "cloud" of slurry. As the cloud continues to settle, it will be further diluted by sea water and will decelerate. At a depth ZMAX the cloud will become so dispersed, and the downward velocity will be so degraded, that settling will be determined by the mechanics of the individual discrete particles. ZMAX has been calculated to be 140 meters, and the calculated time of travel to this depth is 99 seconds, according to the method of Morton, Taylor and Turner (1956).

If, on the other hand, the mass acts as a large discrete particle, it will fall with a constant velocity through the water column until reaching the bottom, passing ZMAX in 17.5 seconds. Its average settling velocity will be 8.0 m/sec.

In reality, the material will probably settle in an intermediary fashion between the two discussed.

Surface currents have the most effect in the cloud analysis, causing increased dilution, and will possibly transport some particles away from the main flow of the cloud, which would remain essentially vertical.

Bottom Accumulation. If the barges can dump within a one-mile radius of the desired dumping location, the most concentrated possible result on the bottom will be an accumulation of solids with a one-mile radius. Under this assumption the present stockpile of Kelly residue would be represented by an average area distribution of 43 pounds per square meter. The annual production of residue would result in an accumulation of 4 pounds per square meter per year and the lime mud would add another two. If the annual accumulation of 18,000 tons of Kelly residue and 8,600 tons of lime mud were completely dissolved and/or suspended at any one time over this same area, to a depth of one meter, the concentration would be about 3000 mg/l. (Assuming a free cyanide ratio of 20 micrograms per gram, the concentration of HCN would be very near the maximum recommended allowable.) Considering the fact that distribution over another meter would reduce the concentration to one-half this value, plus the extreme possibility against its occurrence in the first place, it seems unlikely that a dangerous situation regarding the solids would exist. In considering the HCN danger, it should be pointed out that the concentration would be reduced in time by bacterial decomposition.

According to Fair and Geyer (1954), deposited solids may be lifted from the bottom and transported if the overlying water has a velocity above a critical value dependent on grain size, frictional resistance, and cohesiveness of the particles. With some assumptions a value of 7.3 cm/sec was computed for an estimated mean grain size of 0.02 mm. The fact that the bottom is much lower than the edge of the continental shelf (80-90 fathoms) in this area (Carlson, 1968) suggests that, even if currents of this magnitude occur, scoured bottom material would be subject to considerable additional impediments to transport toward the beach (Sverdrup, Johnson and Fleming, 1942).

SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The analysis at hand indicates that acute pollution problems are not likely to occur at the dumping site, but that a short-term problem may arise due to the high HCN concentration associated with an accidental spill of a barge in the Columbia River. Similar disposal situations have not been sufficiently studied to allow precise determination of the fate of the solids or prediction of long-term polluttional effects. In this light the following recommendation is offered:

Based upon computed settling rates and apparent effect of horizontal dispersion by currents, it appears that proposed dump site two would present the least problem of the three sites proposed. It is in water approximately 900 fathoms (1647 meters) deep and near the mouth of Willapa Canyon, which will cause deep settling material to be funneled further seaward. Also, it is further from the continental shelf than either site one or three. Before any site is chosen, however, a number of specific questions should be answered:

- (1) A hydrographic study should be conducted to ascertain bottom currents in the area.
- (2) Further study of the sedimentation mechanics of this type of disposal method should be conducted with special emphasis on prototype studies.
- (3) Additional evidence should be sought on the long-term biological effects of low HCN concentrations in sea water.

REFERENCES

- Budinger, T.F., L. K. Coachman, and C. A. Barnes. 1964. "Columbia River Effluent in the Northeast Pacific Ocean, 1961, 1962: Selected Aspects of Physical Oceanography." Technical Report No. 99, Department of Oceanography, University of Washington, Seattle. 78 p.
- Carlson, P. R. 1968. Marine Geology of Astoria Submarine Canyon. Ph.D. thesis. Oregon State University, Corvallis. 259 p.
- Fair, G. M., and J. C. Geyer. 1954. Water Supply and Waste Water Disposal. Wiley, New York. 973 p.
- Morton, B. R., Sir Geoffrey Taylor, and J. S. Turner. 1956. "Turbulent Gravitational Convection from Maintained and Instantaneous Sources," Proceedings Royal Society of London, Ser. A., No. 234. pp. 1-23.
- McKee, J. E. and H. W. Wolfe. 1963. Water Quality Criteria. State Water Quality Control Board, State of California, Sacramento. 548 p.
- Stevenson, M.R. 1966. Subsurface Currents off the Oregon Coast. Ph.D. thesis. Oregon State University, Corvallis. 140 p.
- Sverdrup, H. U., M. W. Johnson, and R. H. Fleming. 1942. The Oceans. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey. 1087 p.